



# KMI Panel Outcomes – 28 & 29 September 2024

Match Round	Home Team	Away Team	Incident / On-field Decision	Minute	Referee or Assistant	Difficulty	On-field decision outcome	Votes (Correct: Incorrect)	VAR overturn (Y/N)	VAR intervention outcome	Votes (Correct: Incorrect)	Notes
MR6	Dundee	Aberdeen	Possible penalty for D20 potential foul - penalty not awarded	27	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel suggested that this clip was not a KMI and similar clips should be removed in future.
MR6	Dundee	Aberdeen	Goal awarded - potential foul for A10 challenge on D28 in build-up to goal (APP)	31	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel suggested that this clip was not a KMI and similar clips should be removed in future.
MR6	Dundee	Aberdeen	Possible penalty for A21 potential foul - penalty awarded	42	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field penalty decision was unanimously supported.
MR6	Hearts	Ross County	Possible penalty for RC3 potential handball - penalty not awarded	10	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field inside decision was unanimously supported.
MR6	Hearts	Ross County	Potential red card for RC19 challenge on H35 - caution given	52	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel unanimously deemed the on-field decision correct, noting that the caution given was the correct decision.
MR6	Kilmarnock	Dundee United	Possible penalty for DU31 potential handball - penalty not awarded	24	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel suggested that this clip was not a KMI and similar clips should be removed in future.
MR6	Kilmarnock	Dundee United	Potential red card for K12 challenge on DU31 - foul awarded	54	Assistant Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the on-field decision of no red card, however, they do believe a caution should have been given to the Kilmarnock player.
MR6	Kilmarnock	Dundee United	Goal awarded - scored by K23 - Potential offside	64	Assistant Referee	3	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field inside decision was unanimously supported. The panel highlighted this was a very good decision from the Assistant Referee.
MR6	Kilmarnock	Dundee United	Goal scored by DU14 - foul awarded	79	Assistant Referee	2	Incorrect - Foul	0:5	Y	Correct - No Foul	5:0	The panel deemed the on-field decision as incorrect and supported the VAR's decision to intervene to allow the goal.
MR6	Kilmarnock	Dundee United	Potential red card for DU9 - Caution given	83	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel supported the on-field decision of no red card and supported the Dundee United player receiving a caution. Two panelists believed this was not a KMI while the other three panelists believed it was.
MR6	Kilmarnock	Dundee United	Possible penalty for K15 challenge on DU17 - Penalty awarded	97	Referee	2	Correct	3:2	N	Correct	5:0	The panel discussed this decision at length, with the majority (3:2) deeming the onfield decision correct, while two panelists believed there was not enough contact for a penalty. However, all panelists believed that VAR was correct not to intervene.
MR6	Motherwell	St. Mirren	Possible penalty for SM22 - Penalty awarded	18	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported. The panel highlighted that the Referee was in a credible position to make the call and that the St. Mirren defender had fouled the Motherwell attacker in the box.
MR6	Motherwell	St. Mirren	Potential red card for SM20 challenge on M6 - Caution given	36	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel suggested that this clip was not a KMI and similar clips should be removed in future.
MR6	Motherwell	St. Mirren	Goal awarded - scored by M38 - Possible handball by M38 in build-up to goal (APP)	45	Referee	4	Correct	3:2	N	Correct	3:2	The panel discussed this decision at length, with the majority (3:2) deeming the onfield decision correct, while two panelists believed the ball struck the Motherwell attacker's hand before scoring. The majority (3:2) believed VAR was correct not to intervene while two panelists believe VAR should have disallowed the goal for handball.
MR6	Motherwell	St. Mirren	Possible penalty for M15 potential handball - penalty awarded and red card given to M15	47	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel discussed this decision at length, with all panelists believing the penalty award was correct. However, two panelists believed that the Motherwell defender did not deliberately handle the ball and therefore should only have received a caution. Three panelists believed that the defender did deliberately handle the ball and was correctly shown a red card. Regardless of the colour of card shown all panelists felt VAR should not intervene on the sanction given.
MR6	Motherwell	St. Mirren	Penalty saved - potential encroachment by Goalkeeper (M13) and Defender (M9) before penalty save - no retake given	59	Assistant Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel suggested that this clip was not a KMI and similar clips should be removed in future.
MR6	St. Johnstone	Celtic	Possible penalty for C2 potential handball - penalty not awarded	15	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel suggested that this clip was not a KMI and similar clips should be removed in future.
MR6	St. Johnstone	Celtic	Goal awarded - potential foul by C6 on St J11 in build-up to goal (APP)	27	Referee	2	Incorrect - No Foul	1:4	Y	Correct - Foul	4:1	The panel discussed this decision at length with the majority (4:1) deeming the onfield decision incorrect. One panelist believed that the goal should have stood. The majority (4:1) believed VAR was correct to intervene and disallow the goal.
MR6	St. Johnstone	Celtic	Goal awarded - scored by C8 - Potential offside	46	Assistant Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel suggested that this clip was not a KMI and similar clips should be removed in future.
MR6	St. Johnstone	Celtic	Goal awarded - scored by C42 - Potential offside line of vision	54	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel suggested that this clip was not a KMI and similar clips should be removed in future.
MR6	St. Johnstone	Celtic	Goal scored by C9 - offside given	84	Assistant Referee	3	Incorrect - Offside	0:5	Y	Correct - Factual Offside	5:0	The panel deemed the on-field decision as incorrect and supported the VAR's decision to intervene to award the goal. They noted that the decision was a very tight decision and would have been difficult for the Assistant Referee but that the right outcome was reached after a VAR intervention.
MR6	Rangers	Hibernian	Goal scored by R11 - offside given	33	Assistant Referee	3	Incorrect - Offside	0:5	Y	Correct - Factual Offside	5:0	The panel deemed the on-field decision as incorrect and supported the VAR's decision to intervene to award the goal. They noted that the decision was a very tight decision and would have been difficult for the Assistant Referee but that the right outcome was reached after a VAR intervention.
MR6	Rangers	Hibernian	Possible penalty for R5 potential handball - penalty not awarded	45	Referee	2	Incorrect - No Handball	0:5	Y	Correct - Handball	5:0	The panel deemed the on-field decision as incorrect and supported the VAR's decision to intervene to award the penalty. They noted that the referee was correct not to show a red card as the defender wasn't Denying an Obvious Goal Scoring Opportunity (DOGSO), due to the position of the Rangers goalkeeper.
MR6	Rangers	Hibernian	Penalty saved - potential R1 off the line before penalty save - no retake given	45+3	Assistant Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported as part of the Rangers goalkeeper's foot was still on the line when the penalty was taken.
MR6	Rangers	Hibernian	Potential red card for H26 challenge on R4 - caution given	27	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panelists believed that the referee was right not to show a red card for the challenge. Three panelists believed the referee was correct to show a caution, however, two panelists believed that no sanction should have been given and that this challenge should be deemed a good tackle.

### Difficulty Levels

1. A simple decision that all officials should get correct.
2. A fairly simple decision for a select group official, though with more factors to consider.
3. A more complex decision with a greater degree of subjectivity and/or more factors to consider, that a select group official should nonetheless call correctly.
4. A difficult decision for officials with a high degree of subjectivity or challenging considerations to identify. One that needs deliberation. A 50/50 call.
5. A particularly tough decision that all referees would struggle with. The type of judgement where technology is needed to support officials.