



KMI Panel Outcomes – 26 & 27 October 2024

Match Round	Home Team	Away Team	Incident / On-field Decision	Minute	Referee or Assistant	Difficulty	On-field decision outcome	Votes (Correct: / Incorrect)	VAR overturn (Y/N)	VAR Intervention outcome	Votes (Correct: / Incorrect)	Notes
MR9	Ross County	Kilmarnock	Potential red card for RC2 challenge on K10 - no card shown	68	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR9	Ross County	Kilmarnock	Potential red card for RC8 challenge on K12 - caution given	72	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel deemed this to be a competitive challenge which was correctly assessed due to the Referee's credible positioning.
MR9	Ross County	Kilmarnock	Possible penalty for K4 potential foul on RC28 - penalty not awarded	80	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR9	Ross County	Kilmarnock	Potential red card for K4 challenge on RC24 - red given	90+6	Referee	2	Incorrect - Not Serious Foul Play	0:5	Y	Correct - Not Serious Foul Play	5:0	The panel deemed the red card shown to the Kilmarnock player to be incorrect, noting that the Referee may have been incorrectly advised by the Assistant Referee. The panel fully supported the decision to overturn the red card after an on-field review.
MR9	Dundee	St. Johnstone	Possible penalty for D2 challenge on SLJ7 - penalty not awarded	33	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR9	Dundee	St. Johnstone	Possible penalty for SLJ22 potential handball - penalty not awarded	56	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel deemed the on-field decision to be correct as the defender's arm was close to the body.
MR9	Dundee	St. Johnstone	Goal scored by SLJ10 - goal given - potential offside	90	Assistant Referee	4	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel unanimously agreed the delay to the game was too long, however understood the complexity of the incident. The panel deemed that the decision to allow the goal was correct and the on-field decision supported. They agreed that the VAR could not accurately draw a line on the goal-scorer.
MR9	Aberdeen	Dundee United	Possible penalty for DU31 potential handball - penalty not awarded	89	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR9	Aberdeen	Dundee United	Penalty given - potential offside A32 - offside not given on-field	90+3	Assistant Referee	2	Incorrect - Offside	0:5	Y	Correct - Factual Offside	5:0	The panel deemed this to be a good use of VAR as the factual offside was an extremely close call. The panel noted that they would be in full agreement to award the penalty had the Aberdeen player not been offside.
MR9	Motherwell	Celtic	Goal scored by C38 - potential handball - goal given on-field	34	Referee	3	Incorrect - Handball	0:5	Y	Correct - Handball	5:0	The panel deemed this to be a correct intervention for a handball which led directly to a goal. The panel agreed this is a difficult incident for the Referee to identify, however also agreed that the Assistant Referee should have identified the offside on-field.
MR9	Motherwell	Celtic	Potential penalty for M2 challenge on C38 - penalty not given	34	Referee	3	Incorrect - No Penalty-Kick	1:4	N	Incorrect - No Penalty-Kick	1:4	After a lengthy discussion on the incident, the majority (4/1) of the panel deemed this should have firstly been identified as a foul by the on-field Referee and an on-field review should have been recommended by the VAR when the penalty was not awarded. As the goal was correctly called out for handball, the majority of the panel (4/1) felt the penalty-kick should have been awarded as this offence occurred before the handball. One panel member felt the decision not to award the penalty-kick was correct and that no intervention was required. The panel also noted that, in line with VAR protocol, VAR could not advise on any sanction for the offending player during a potential OFR, which must be decided by the On-field referee.
MR9	Motherwell	Celtic	Potential red card for M4 challenge on C9 - caution shown	90+3	Referee	3	Incorrect - Not Serious Foul Play	0:5	Y	Correct - Serious Foul Play	5:0	The panel unanimously agreed with this VAR intervention and the decision to upgrade from a caution to a red card was correct.
MR9	Rangers	St. Mirren	Goal scored by SKM13 - goal given - potential foul on K1	26	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR9	Rangers	St. Mirren	Potential red card for R8 challenge on SKM20 - no card shown	77	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The panel agreed the incident shouldn't have resulted in a red card, however did agree that a caution for the offending player could be supported.
MR9	Rangers	St. Mirren	Possible penalty for R24 challenge on SKM20 - penalty not awarded	82	Referee	2	Correct	3:2	N	Correct	3:2	The majority (3/2) of the panel deemed the on-field decision of no penalty to be correct. Two panel members felt a penalty should have been awarded and that VAR intervention should have taken place.
MR9	Rangers	St. Mirren	Possible penalty for SKM1 challenge on R9 - penalty not awarded	90+5	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.

Difficulty Levels

1. A simple decision that all officials should get correct.
2. A fairly simple decision for a select group official, though with more factors to consider.
3. A more complex decision with a greater degree of subjectivity and/or more factors to consider, that a select group official should nonetheless call correctly.
4. A difficult decision for officials with a high degree of subjectivity or challenging considerations to identify. One that needs deliberation. A 50/50 call.
5. A particularly tough decision that all referees would struggle with. The type of judgement where technology is needed to support officials.