



KMI Panel Outcomes – 2nd Jan 2025

Match Round	Home Team	Away Team	Incident / On-field Decision	Minute	Referee or Assistant	Difficulty	On-field decision outcome	Votes (Correct: Incorrect)	VAR overturn (Y/N)	VAR intervention outcome	Votes (Correct: Incorrect)	Notes
MR21	Kilmarnock	St Mirren	Possible penalty for STM13 challenge on K19 - penalty awarded	6	Referee	2	Correct	4:1	N	Correct	5:0	The majority (4:1) of the panel deemed the on-field decision of penalty correct. One panellist believed that a penalty should not have been awarded. The panel unanimously agreed VAR was correct not to intervene.
MR21	Kilmarnock	St Mirren	Possible penalty for K5 challenge on STM20 - penalty not awarded	21	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Kilmarnock	St Mirren	Possible penalty for STM13 challenge on K16 - penalty awarded	63	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Hearts	Motherwell	Goal scored by H37 - goal disallowed - potential foul in build-up (APP)	6	Referee	2	Incorrect - no goal	0:5	Y	Correct - goal	5:0	The panel unanimously agreed that the on-field decision for a foul on the goalkeeper was incorrect. The panel highlighted VAR was correct to intervene and allow the goal.
MR21	Hearts	Motherwell	Possible penalty for M4 potential handball - penalty not awarded	13	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Hearts	Motherwell	Possible penalty for M2 potential handball - penalty not awarded	86	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	St Johnstone	Hibernian	Possible red card for STJ7 challenge on H12 - yellow card issued	38	Referee	2	Incorrect - not serious foul play	0:5	Y	Correct - serious foul play	5:0	The panel unanimously agreed that the challenge was a clear example of serious foul play and that the correct decision was reached after a VAR intervention.
MR21	St Johnstone	Hibernian	Possible penalty for H33 challenge on STJ5 - penalty awarded	46	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	St Johnstone	Hibernian	Goal scored by H10 - goal disallowed - potential offside by H23	58	Assistant Referee	3	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Rangers	Celtic	Possible red card for C2 challenge on R30 - no card issued	34	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Rangers	Celtic	Possible red card for R22 challenge on C10 - yellow card issued	46	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Rangers	Celtic	Goal scored by C8 - goal disallowed - potential offside	52	Assistant Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Aberdeen	Ross County	Possible penalty for RC20 challenge on A9 - penalty awarded	54	Referee	1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Aberdeen	Ross County	Goal scored by A9 - goal awarded	56	Assistant Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Aberdeen	Ross County	Possible penalty for RC42 challenge on A11 - penalty not awarded	76	Referee	2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR21	Dundee	Dundee United	Possible penalty for DU4 potential handball - penalty not awarded	56	Referee	2	Incorrect - no handball	1:4	Y	Correct - handball	4:1	The panel discussed this decision at length with the majority (4:1) deeming the on-field decision incorrect. The majority (4:1) believed VAR was correct to intervene and recommend a review for a possible penalty-kick. One panellist believed that a penalty should not have been awarded and VAR was incorrect to intervene.

Difficulty Levels

1. A simple decision that all officials should get correct.
2. A fairly simple decision for a select group official, though with more factors to consider.
3. A more complex decision with a greater degree of subjectivity and/or more factors to consider, that a select group official should nonetheless call correctly.
4. A difficult decision for officials with a high degree of subjectivity or challenging considerations to identify. One that needs deliberation. A 50/50 call.
5. A particularly tough decision that all referees would struggle with. The type of judgement where technology is needed to support officials.