



KMI Panel Outcomes – 14th, 17th, 18th May 2025

Match Round	Home Team	Away Team	Incident / On-field Decision	Minute	Referee or Assistant	Difficulty	On-field decision outcome	Votes (Correct: Incorrect)	VAR overturn (Y/N)	VAR intervention outcome	Votes (Correct: Incorrect)	Notes
MR37	Motherwell	Kilmarnock	Possible red card for K6 challenge on M38 - yellow card awarded	25		2	Incorrect - yellow card	0:5	Y	Correct - red card	5:0	The panel unanimously agreed that the on-field yellow card decision was incorrect. The panel highlighted VAR was correct to intervene and recommend an OFR and the referee was correct to award a red card for DOGSO.
MR37	Dundee	Roses County	Possible penalty for D29 for handball - penalty awarded	93		3	Incorrect - penalty	1:4	N	Incorrect - no penalty	1:4	The panel discussed this decision at length with the majority (4:1) deeming the on-field decision incorrect. The majority (4:1) believed that VAR should have intervened and recommended an OFR and for the referee to cancel the award of the penalty kick. One member of the panel highlighted that they thought it was a punishable handball and the penalty award was correct.
MR37	Rangers	Dundee United	Possible penalty for DU37 challenge on R9 - penalty not awarded	36		2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR37	Rangers	Dundee United	Possible penalty for DU5 challenge on R10 - penalty not awarded	70		2	Incorrect - no penalty	0:5	Y	Correct - penalty	5:0	The panel unanimously agreed that the on-field no penalty decision was incorrect. The panel highlighted VAR was correct to intervene and recommend an OFR and the referee was correct to award a penalty.
MR37	Rangers	Dundee United	Possible penalty for DU37 for handball - penalty not awarded	95		2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR37	St Mirren	Hibernian	Goal scored by STM9 - goal not awarded - potential foul in build-up (APP)	70		3	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR38	Dundee United	Aberdeen	Possible penalty for A18 challenge on DU2 - penalty awarded	61		1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR38	Hibernian	Rangers	Possible goal scored by R43 - goal not awarded	15		4	Incorrect - no goal	1:4	N	Incorrect - no goal	1:4	The panel discussed this decision at length with the majority (4:1) deeming the on-field decision incorrect. The majority (4:1) believed that VAR should have intervened and recommended a factual award of the goal. One member of the panel highlighted that they didn't think there was conclusive evidence and a VAR intervention should not take place.
MR38	St Johnstone	Dundee	Possible penalty for STJ11 challenge on D21 - penalty awarded	95		2	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR38	Kilmarnock	Hearts	Possible red card for H20 challenge on K17 - no card awarded	35		4	Incorrect - no card	0:5	Y	Correct - red card	5:0	The panel unanimously agreed that the on-field decision was incorrect. The panel highlighted VAR was correct to intervene and recommend an OFR and the referee was correct to award a red card for serious foul play.
MR38	Kilmarnock	Hearts	Possible penalty for H3 for handball - penalty not awarded	74		1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR38	Ross County	Motherwell	Possible penalty for RC23 challenge on M8 - penalty not awarded	45		1	Correct	5:0	N	Correct	5:0	The on-field decision was unanimously supported.
MR38	Ross County	Motherwell	Possible red card for M2 challenge on RC11 - yellow card awarded	95		3	Correct	3:2	N	Correct	3:2	The panel discussed this decision at length with the majority (3:2) deeming the on-field decision correct. The majority (3:2) believed that VAR was correct not to intervene. Two members of the panel highlighted that they thought the VAR should have recommended an OFR for a potential DOGSO and a red card awarded.

Difficulty Levels

1. A simple decision that all officials should get correct.
2. A fairly simple decision for a select group official, though with more factors to consider.
3. A more complex decision with a greater degree of subjectivity and/or more factors to consider, that a select group official should nonetheless call correctly.
4. A difficult decision for officials with a high degree of subjectivity or challenging considerations to identify. One that needs deliberation. A 50/50 call.
5. A particularly tough decision that all referees would struggle with. The type of judgement where technology is needed to support officials.